#### Last iteration?

Prepared by Jianming and Andrey Re-iterated with Frank P., ...

#### Problem formulation

Experiments need: <u>values</u>, <u>uncertainties</u>, <u>correlations</u> for  $\sigma_{tot}$ ,  $\sigma_0$ ,  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ 

We now discuss only theoretical uncertainties:

- these are typically evaluated by varying QCD scales
- other uncertainties are very important too and must be kept in mind,
   but we do not attempt to evaluate them here

## Logic flow (1): theory

Theory:  $\sigma_{tot}$ ,  $\sigma_{\geq 1}$ ,  $\sigma_{\geq 2}$  have uncorrelated uncertainties This defines the number of independent nuisance parameters (3)

Total CS and its uncertainty come from YR:  $\sigma_{YR}$  and  $\epsilon_{YR}$ This takes care of one of the three nuisance parameters; two – TBD

# Logic flow (2): experiment

Where do we take  $\sigma_0$ ,  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$  from?

These are defined as  $\sigma_{YR} \times f_0$ ,  $\sigma_{YR} \times f_1$ ,  $\sigma_{YR} \times f_2$ , where

- $f_0$ ,  $f_1$ , and  $f_2$  are evaluated by experiments using the full detector simulation (event generator Higgs  $p_T$  usually reweighted to match NNLO calculations)
- $f_0 + f_1 + f_2 = 1$
- i.e. there is only independent variable here; next we use  $f_0$  and  $f_1$

# Logic flow (3): theory + experiment

Where do we get errors on  $f_0$  and  $f_2$  from?

```
1) Run HNNLO to get \sigma_{tot}, \sigma_{\geq 1}, \sigma_{\geq 2} with their errors \epsilon_{tot}, \epsilon_{\geq 1}, \epsilon_{\geq 2}
```

2) get ratios: 
$$\rho_0 = (\sigma_{tot} - \sigma_{\geq 1}) / \sigma_{tot}$$
$$\rho_1 = (\sigma_{\geq 1} - \sigma_{\geq 12}) / \sigma_{tot}$$
$$\rho_2 = \sigma_{\geq 2} / \sigma_{tot}$$

3) Their errors are 
$$\delta \rho_0 / \rho_0 = (1-\rho_0)/\rho_0 \operatorname{sqrt}((\epsilon_{\geq 1})^2 + (\epsilon_{\operatorname{tot}})^2)$$
  
 $\delta \rho_1 / \rho_1 = ...$   
 $\delta \rho_2 / \rho_2 = \operatorname{sqrt}((\epsilon_{\geq 2})^2 + (\epsilon_{\operatorname{tot}})^2)$ 

4) Use these together with the total CS uncertainty  $\varepsilon_{VR}$  to define uncertainties for 0-, 1-, 2-jet bins

BUT:  $\delta\sigma_{YR}/\sigma_{YR}$ ,  $\delta\rho_0/\rho_0$ ,  $\delta\rho_0/\rho_0$ ,  $\delta\rho_0/\rho_0$  are correlated and in principle there are also only three independent nuisance parameters

May 10, 2011 5

## Logic flow (4): theory

What is the correlation matrix?

1) Use HNNLO to get correlation matrix for  $\sigma_{tot}$ ,  $\rho_0$ ,  $\rho_1$ ,  $\rho_2$ 

```
\begin{array}{lll} C(\sigma_{tot}, \, \rho_0) & = & 1 \, / \, \text{sqrt} ( \, 1 + (\epsilon_{\geq 1} / \epsilon_{tot})^{\, 2} \, \, ) \\ C(\sigma_{tot}, \, \rho_1) & = & \dots \\ C(\sigma_{tot}, \, \rho_2) & = & - \, 1 \, / \, \, \text{sqrt} ( \, 1 + (\epsilon_{\geq 2} / \epsilon_{tot})^{\, 2} \, \, ) \\ C(\rho_0, \, \rho_2) & = & C(\sigma_{tot}, \, \rho_0) \times C(\sigma_{tot}, \, \rho_2) \\ C(\rho_1, \, \rho_2) & = & \dots \end{array}
```

NOTE: only ratios of relative errors of HNNLO CS's are needed

May 10, 2011 6

# Logic flow (5): simplifying

- The gg-contribution to the 2-jet analysis is strongly suppressed, nearly negligible
- 2) Numerically, the 2-jet gg uncertainties correlate very weakly with the total CS, 0-jet and 1-jet fractions.

If one wants to continue to keep track of the gg-contribution in the 2-jet analysis, it can be treated as uncorrelated with 0- and 1-jet bins

This will add fourth independent uncorrelated nuisance parameter---easy

### Questions

- Are relative errors  $\varepsilon_{tot}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\geq 1}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\geq 2}$  (HNNLO) approximately  $m_H$  independent?
  - This would simplify tracking errors on ρ's
- Are ratios of relative errors  $(\epsilon_{\geq 1}/\epsilon_{tot})$  and  $(\epsilon_{\geq 2}/\epsilon_{tot})$  (HNNLO) approximately  $m_H$  independent?
  - This would simplify tracking correlation matrix

If we are lucky, maybe, we can get away with a one-size-fits-all approach (with some simplifications)

# Backup

## ggF Jet Bin Correlation

Basic parton level selection using HNNLO

Two leptons with pT>20 GeV and  $|\eta|$ <2.5;

MissingEt > 30 GeV (pT of the two neutrino system);

Event veto if jets with pT>30 GeV and  $|\eta|$ <3.0

	$(\mu_F/m_H, \ \mu_R/m_H)$								
	(0.5, 0.5)	(0.5, 1.0)	(0.5, 2.0)	(1.0, 0.5)	(1.0, 1.0)	(1.0, 2.0)	(2.0, 0.5)	(2.0, 1.0)	(2.0, 2.0)
Cross sections in 0, 1 and 2-jet bin									
$\sigma_0$	30.1	28.5	26.6	30.1	28.6	26.8	30.3	28.6	27.0
$\sigma_1$	11.5	10.2	8.86	11.6	10.2	8.77	11.7	10.1	8.60
$\sigma_2$	3.95	2.64	1.84	3.57	2.39	1.66	3.24	2.17	1.51
Fractions in 0, 1 and 2-jet bin									
$f_0$	66.1	68.9	71.4	66.5	69.5	72.0	67.0	70.0	72.8
$f_1$	25.2	24.7	23.7	25.6	24.7	23.6	25.9	24.7	23.2
$f_2$	8.69	6.40	4.92	7.88	5.80	4.45	7.17	5.31	4.06

#### $f_i$ correlation matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1.00 & -0.95 & -0.98 \\ -0.95 & 1.00 & 0.88 \\ -0.98 & 0.88 & 1.00 \end{pmatrix}$$

- $f_0$  anti-correlated with  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ ;
- $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are largely correlated